

FLORISTIC COMPOSITION, LIFE-FORMS AND BIOLOGICAL SPECTRUM OF RENUKA WILDLIFE SANCTUARY, HIMACHAL PRADESH

S.P. SUBRAMANI, VANEET JISHTU, R.K. VERMA AND K.S. KAPOOR

*Himalayan Forest Research Institute,
Conifer Campus, Panthaghati, Shimla (Himachal Pradesh).*

Introduction

Renuka Wildlife Sanctuary is situated in Sirmour District, Himachal Pradesh and lies between $30^{\circ} 35' 58''$ to $30^{\circ} 37' 08''$ North latitudes and $77^{\circ} 26' 34''$ to $77^{\circ} 28' 21''$ East longitudes, with a total area of 402.80 ha. It derives its name from Renuka, a famous pilgrimage destination of the region much recognized by its religious and cultural values. The sanctuary is also known for the famous lion safari, besides the lake. Renuka is the abode of temples devoted to the mother and son duo of Renukaji and Lord Parushuramji, and as such the entire area of this sanctuary has been declared as 'abhayaranya' (Sanctum sanctorum). A lake named after the goddess Renuka lies in the heart of the sanctuary. The altitude of the area varies from 200-900 m amsl and the vegetation type is northern subtropical dry mixed deciduous forests 5B/C2 and dry deciduous scrub 5B/DS1 (Champion and Seth, 1968; Singh *et al.*, 1990). In general, the vegetation is herbaceous and shrubby. Dominant herbaceous flora found in the area are *Bidens biternata*, *Eclipta prostrata*, *Eupatorium chinense*, *Cassia tora*, *Evolvulus alsinoides*, *Acorus calamus*, *Phyllanthus* spp., *Crotalaria* spp., *Sida* spp., *Oxalis corniculata*, *Solanum* spp., *Clerodendrum philippinum* etc. Among the

climbers and lianas, *Bauhinia vahlii*, *Chonemorpha fragrans*, *Cryptolepis buchanani*, *Tinospora cordifolia*, *Trachelospermum lucidum* are frequent. Among shrubs, *Adhatoda zeylanica*, *Berberis chitria*, *Dodonaea angustifolia*, *Euphorbia royleana*, *Flacourtie indica*, *Roylea cinerea*, *Murraya koenigii*, *M. paniculata* and *Woodfordia fruticosa* were the dominant species. In case of trees, *Anogeissus latifolia*, *Acacia catechu*, *Cassia fistula*, *Grewia optiva*, *Kydia calycina*, *Lannea coromandelica*, *Sapium insigne*, *Mallotus philippensis* and *Terminalia alata* are the major species.

Generally, a complete list of plants called 'floristic composition' and their life forms cause appearance of a plant community. The structure and rate of change in composition are sensitive indicators of the whole environment. Therefore, it is important to study the floristic composition and life forms of different plants to find out the phytoclimatic zones of the area. In India, several workers have studied floristic composition and biological spectra of different regions. In Himachal Pradesh, only a few attempts have been made so far. Among these are Kapoor and Singh (1990) in temperate grasslands of Shimla hills; Sharma and Singh (1990, 1995) for

Chamba District, Sharma and Dhaliwal (1997) for the flora of Kullu District. Besides, no work on life forms has so far been carried out in Renuka Wildlife Sanctuary. Verma *et al.* (2005) studied the status of plant diversity around Renuka Lake. In continuation, the present communication describes and analysis the floristic composition and biological spectrum of Renuka Wildlife Sanctuary.

Material and Methods

Extensive field surveys were conducted in the Renuka Wildlife Sanctuary from February 1999 to October 2003 to assess the plant diversity and to find out their floristic composition. Data on habit, phenology, biotic associations, species distribution and frequency were recorded. Plant specimens collected were identified using the published local floras (Collet, 1902; Kanjilal, 1979, Kaur and Sharma, 2004). The voucher specimens were deposited at herbarium of HFRI, Shimla. Plant species collected were assigned to various life forms of Raunkiaer's (1934) system, as modified by Ellenberg and Mueller-Dombios (1976) according to the position of their regenerating parts. Based on the life forms

with sub-type recorded the biological spectrum was prepared.

Results and Discussion

A total of 395 species belonging to 316 genera and 115 families were collected and identified. Of these, 390 species are angiosperms (335 spp of dicots, 55 spp of monocots), 4 ferns (4 spp and 4 genera) and 1b gymnosperm (Table 1). The ratio of monocotyledons to dicotyledons family, genera and species are 1 : 4.23, 1 : 5.61 and 1 : 6.09 respectively. Among the species, the ratio of family to genera is 1 : 2.75 family to species is 1 : 3.43 and genera to species is 1 : 1.25 which is lower than derived for world - 1 : 13, for British India - 1 : 7 (Hooker, 1872-97), India alone - 1 : 6 (Chaterjee, 1939), Valley of Flowers - 1 : 4.3 (Kala and Rawat, 2004), Himachal Pradesh - 1 : 2.93 (Chowdhry and Wadhwa, 1984), Bashahr Himalaya - 1 : 2.29 (Nair, 1977), Lahaul-Spiti 1 : 2.5 (Aswal and Mahrotra, 1994) and for Simla - 1 : 2 (Collett, 1902). On the other hand, the ratio tends to match with the floristic composition of Great Himalayan National Park - 1 : 1.94 (Singh and Rawat, 2000), Kullu - 1 : 1.84 (Dhaliwal and Sharma, 1999), Kangra - 1 : 1.72 (Kapur, 1985), Mussoorie - 1 : 1.87 (Raizada and Saxena,

Table 1
Relative proportion of taxa in different groups

| Groups | Families | % | Genera | % | Species | % |
|----------------------|----------|-------|--------|-------|---------|-------|
| Angiosperms : | | | | | | |
| Dicots | 89 | 77.39 | 264 | 83.55 | 335 | 84.82 |
| Monocots | 21 | 18.26 | 47 | 14.87 | 55 | 13.92 |
| Gymnosperms | 1 | 0.87 | 1 | 0.31 | 1 | 0.25 |
| Pteridophytes | 4 | 3.48 | 4 | 1.27 | 4 | 1.01 |
| Total | 115 | | 316 | | 395 | |

1978), Sirmour - 1 : 1.65 (Kaur and Sharma, 2004) and Trikuta Hills - 1 : 1.42 (Kapur and Sarin, 1990). This low value lends support to the generalization that smaller the flora, the genera-species ratio should decline within the same floral region.

Out of total 110 families of angiosperms in the study area, the eight dominant families i.e. Fabaceae (31 species), Asteraceae (26), Euphorbiaceae (20), Poaceae (15), Lamiaceae and Apocynaceae (each 12), Rubiaceae (11) and Acanthaceae (10) accounted for 137 (34.68%) species and 110 (34.81%) genera. Among the total families, 54 were monotypic and 63 families were monogeneric.

The collection included two endemic plant species to North-West Himalayas, *Berberis chitria* Lindl. And *Notholiron thomsonianum* (Royle) Stapf. (Nayar, 1996). A total of 228 (59.84%) plants were reported to have medicinal value (Chopra *et al.*, 1956; Kirtikar and Basu, 1987). Red listed medicinal species *Acorus calamus* L., *Aegle marmelos* (L.) Corr, *Celastrus paniculata* Wild, *Chonemorpha fragrans* (Moon) Alston, *Gloriosa superba* L., *Hedychium coronarium* J. Koenig and *Oroxylum indicum* (L.) Vent. *Roylea cinerea* (D.Don) Baill. and *Zanthoxylum armatum* DC. have also been recorded (Ravikumar and Ved, 2000; Ved *et al.*, 2003). This assumes greater significance from the conservation point of view.

A total of 85 exotic taxa (21.52%) belonging to 79 genera and 34 families of angiosperms were recorded (Table 2), of which 14 were ornamental species that were recorded besides the Renuka Lake. The remaining species were naturally distributed in the forest along with other

indigenous flora. Some of these species grow much faster than the native species, thereby posing a threat to the native forest biodiversity. Species such as *Xanthium strumarium*, *Stachytarpheta mutabilis*, *Cassia tora*, *Sida cordata*, *Ageratum conyzoides*, *Eupatorium chinense*, *Parthenium hysterophorus*, *Lantana camara*, *Phyllanthus urinaria* and *Cynodon dactylon* have aggressively dominated the ground vegetation, replacing the indigenous ground forest flora at some places. The exotic taxa have arrived from different phytogeographical regions of the world, with a majority from different parts of American continent (39 spp.), followed by Eastern Asiatic region (13 spp.), Pantropical (7 spp.), Tropical African region (6 spp.), Australian region (5 spp.), and Central European region (4 spp.).

Life forms and Biological spectrum

Life form is an important character in describing vegetation, which will present a preliminary picture of the ecological character of the vegetation (Cain, 1950). The life form classes reflects the stratification of different layers above the ground and further indicate how a plant passes the unfavorable seasons for growth (Rao, 1968). The life form is assumed to have evolved in direct response to the environment and accordingly, the proportion of life forms in an area can give a good indication of its phyto-climatic zone. Raunkiaer's (1934) classification of five major life forms e.g. Phanerophytes (Ph), Chamaephytes (Ch), Hemicryptophytes (H), Cryptophytes (Cr) and Therophytes (Th) in plants is the most widely understood and used throughout the world. The ratio of life forms of different species in terms of numbers or

Table 2*List of exotics with origin*

| Sl. No. | Botanical Name | Family | Habit | Place of origin |
|------------|--|----------------|-------|--|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 | <i>Barleria cristata</i> L. | Acanthaceae | Hb | China, Malaysia |
| 2 | <i>Dicliptera bupleuroides</i> Nees | „ | Hb | Afghanistan |
| 3 | * <i>Yucca gloriosa</i> L. | Agavaceae | US | Mexico, W. Indies |
| 4 | <i>Agave angustifolia</i> Haw. L. | „ | S | Tropical America |
| 5 | * <i>Catharanthus roseus</i> (L.) G.Don | Apocynaceae | Hb | Madagascar |
| 6 | * <i>Nerium indicum</i> Mill. | „ | S | Mediterranean region, Eastern Europe |
| 7 | * <i>Thevetia peruviana</i> (Pers.) Merr. | „ | ST | Tropical America |
| 8 | <i>Calotropis gigantea</i> (L.) R. Br. | Asclepiadaceae | S | Tropical Africa |
| 9 | <i>Acanthospermum hispidum</i> DC. | Asteraceae | Hb | South America |
| 10 | <i>Ageratum conyzoides</i> L. | „ | Hb | Tropical America |
| 11 | <i>Ageratum houstonianum</i> Miller. | „ | Hb | Mexico |
| 12 | <i>Bidens biternata</i> (Lour.) Merrill & Scheriff | „ | US | Pantropical |
| 13 | <i>Blainvillea acmella</i> (L.) Philipson | „ | Hb | Pantropical |
| 14 | <i>Cirsium arvense</i> (L.) Scop. | „ | Hb | West Asia and Europe |
| 15 | <i>Conyza japonica</i> (Thunh.) Less. | „ | Hb | East Asia |
| 16 | <i>Eclipta prostrata</i> (L.) L. | „ | Hb | Pantropical |
| 17 | <i>Emilia sonchifolia</i> (L.) DC. | „ | Hb | Tropical Asia, Africa |
| 18 | <i>Eupatorium chinense</i> L. | „ | US | China and Japan |
| 19 | <i>Parthenium hysterophorus</i> L. | „ | Hb | North America |
| 20 | <i>Sigesbeckia orientalis</i> L. | Asteraceae | Hb | Old & New World Tropics |
| 21 | <i>Synedrella nudiflora</i> (L.) Gaertner | „ | Hb | West Indies |
| 22 | <i>Tagetus minuta</i> L. | „ | US | South America |
| 23 | <i>Tridax procumbens</i> L. | „ | Hb | Tropical America |
| 24 | <i>Xanthium strumarium</i> L. | „ | US | South America |
| 25 | * <i>Zinnia peruviana</i> (L.) L. | „ | Hb | Mexico, Central America, W. Indies, Columbia |
| 26 | <i>Impatiens balsamina</i> L. | Balsaminaceae | Hb | China, Malaysia |
| 27 | <i>Jacaranda mimosifolia</i> D.Don | Bignoniaceae | T | NW Argentina |

Contd...

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|----|---|-----------------|----|--------------------------------|
| 28 | * <i>Pyrostegia ignea</i> (Vell.) C. Presl. | „ | Cl | Brazil |
| 29 | <i>Tecoma stans</i> (L.) Kunth. | „ | ST | South Florida |
| 30 | <i>Bauhinia variegata</i> L. | Caesalpiniaceae | ST | China, Burma |
| 31 | <i>Cassia occidentalis</i> L. | „ | Hb | South America |
| 32 | <i>Cassia siamea</i> Lam. | „ | T | SE Tropical Asia |
| 33 | <i>Cassia tora</i> L. | „ | Hb | Australia |
| 34 | <i>Cleome viscosa</i> L. | Cleomaceae | Hb | Tropical Africa |
| 35 | <i>Ipomoea carnea</i> Jacq. ssp. <i>fistulosa</i> (Choisy) D. Austin | Convolvulaceae | US | Tropical America |
| 36 | <i>Ipomoea nil</i> (L.) Roth. | „ | Cl | North America |
| 37 | <i>Ipomoea purpurea</i> (L.) Roth. | „ | Cl | Tropical America |
| 38 | * <i>Ipomoea quamoclit</i> L. | „ | Cl | Tropical America |
| 39 | <i>Coriaria nepalensis</i> Wall. | Coriariaceae | S | China, Japan |
| 40 | <i>Kalanchoe spathulata</i> DC. | Crassulaceae | Hb | Burma, China and Java |
| 41 | <i>Euphorbia heterophylla</i> L. | Euphorbiaceae | Hb | Central America |
| 42 | <i>Euphorbia hirta</i> L. | „ | Hb | Pantropical |
| 43 | <i>Euphorbia prostrata</i> Ait. | „ | Hb | Tropical America |
| 44 | <i>Jatropha curcas</i> L. | „ | S | Tropical America |
| 45 | * <i>Pedilanthes tithymaloides</i> (L.) Poit. | „ | US | West Indies |
| 46 | <i>Phyllanthus urinaria</i> L. | „ | Hb | Pantropical |
| 47 | * <i>Ricinus communis</i> L. | „ | S | NE Tropical America |
| 48 | <i>Alysicarpus monilifer</i> (L.) DC. | Fabaceae | Hb | Pantropical |
| 49 | <i>Crotalaria albida</i> Heyne ex Roth. | „ | Hb | SE Asia and Malaysia |
| 50 | <i>Desmodium gangeticum</i> (L.) DC. | „ | Hb | Tropical Africa and Australia |
| 51 | <i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L. | „ | Hb | America |
| 52 | <i>Geranium lucidum</i> L. | Geraniaceae | Hb | South America, Europe, Britain |
| 53 | <i>Ocimum americanum</i> L. | Lamiaceae | Hb | Paleotropical |
| 54 | <i>Woodfordia fruticosa</i> (L.) Kurz. | Lythraceae | S | China, Africa and Madagascar |
| 55 | <i>Abutilon crispum</i> (L.) Medikus | Malvaceae | Hb | America and Malaysia |
| 56 | <i>Sida acuta</i> Burm.f. | „ | Hb | Pantropical |
| 57 | <i>Sida cordata</i> (Burm.f.) Borssum Waalkes | „ | Hb | Tropical America |
| 58 | <i>Sida rhombifolia</i> L. | „ | Hb | Old & New World Tropics |

Contd...

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|----|--|------------------|----|---|
| 59 | <i>Urena lobata</i> L. var. <i>sinuata</i> (L.) Brosum Waalke | „ | US | Africa |
| 60 | <i>Albizia lebbek</i> (L.) Benth. | Mimosaceae | T | North Australia and Tropical Asia |
| 61 | <i>Leucaena leucocephala</i> (Lam.) de Wit. | „ | T | Tropical America |
| 62 | * <i>Callistemon citrinus</i> (Curtis) Skeels | Myrtaceae | ST | East Australia |
| 63 | <i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i> Dehn. | „ | T | Australia and Tasmania |
| 64 | <i>Boerhavia diffusa</i> L. | Nyctaginaceae | Hb | Pantropical |
| 65 | * <i>Bougainvillea glabra</i> Choisy | „ | S | Brazil |
| 66 | <i>Mirabilis jalapa</i> L. | „ | US | Peru |
| 67 | <i>Raimannia drummondii</i> (Hook.f.) Rose | Onagraceae | Hb | America |
| 68 | <i>Oxalis corniculata</i> L. | Oxalidaceae | Hb | Britain |
| 69 | <i>Sesamum orientale</i> L. | Pedaliaceae | Hb | Tropical Africa |
| 70 | <i>Plumbago zeylanica</i> L. | Plumbaginaceae | US | Tropical regions of the Old World |
| 71 | <i>Cynodon dactylon</i> (L.) Pers. | Poaceae | Hb | Europe |
| 72 | <i>Grevillea robusta</i> A.Cunn.ex R.Br. | Proteaceae | T | East Australia |
| 73 | <i>Punica granatum</i> L. | Punicaceae | S | Mediterranean Region and South America |
| 74 | <i>Richardia scabra</i> L. | Rubiaceae | Hb | South America |
| 75 | <i>Populus deltoidea</i> Marsh. | Salicaceae | T | North America |
| 76 | <i>Bacopa monnieri</i> (L.) Pennell | Scrophulariaceae | Hb | Palaeotropical |
| 77 | <i>Torenia cordifolia</i> Roxb. | „ | Hb | China, Japan |
| 78 | <i>Vernonia cinerea</i> (L.) Less. | „ | Hb | Tropical Asia, Africa, Australia |
| 79 | <i>Nicandra physaloides</i> (L.) Gaertner | Solanaceae | Hb | Central America |
| 80 | <i>Solanum nigrum</i> L. | „ | Hb | Europe |
| 81 | <i>Corchorus aestuans</i> L. | Tiliaceae | Hb | Australia, Central America and W. Indies |
| 82 | <i>Triumfetta rhomboidea</i> Jacq. | „ | US | Pantropical |
| 83 | <i>Clerodendrum philippinum</i> Schauer | Verbenaceae | US | China |
| 84 | <i>Lantana camara</i> L. | „ | S | Tropical America |
| 85 | <i>Stachytarpheta mutabilis</i> (Jacq.) Vahl. | „ | US | Tropical America |

*Species planted around the Renuka Lake

Hb-Herb, Cl-Climber, US-Undershrub, S-Shrub, ST-Small tree, T-Tree.

percentage in any floristic community is called the Biological spectrum of Phylogenetic spectrum. Thus, the biological

spectrum is one useful parameter for comparison on a geographical scale and is valuable in expressing the

differences and similarities among plant communities.

Raunkiaer (1934) prepared a normal biological spectrum (NBS) for the Phanerogamic flora of the world, working on the same lines a biological spectrum of the flora of Renuka Wildlife Sanctuary has been prepared. Out of 395 species, 14 ornamental species planted around the lake are excluded in the preparation of biological spectrum, as they do not form a part of the natural vegetation. The number of different life forms has been given for each family on the basis of study of 381 species. The species belonging to different families are arranged alphabetically (Table 3) in twelve life forms classes, which are :

| | |
|----------------------------|---------------|
| Megaphanerophyte | (2, 0.52%) |
| Mesophanerophyte | (47, 12.34%) |
| Microphanerophyte | (63, 16.54%) |
| Nanophanerophyte | (73, 19.16%) |
| Chamaephyte | (29, 7.61%) |
| Hemicryptophyte | (21, 5.51%) |
| Geophyte | (8, 2.10%) |
| Hydrophyte or marsh plants | (13, 3.41%) |
| Therophyte | (119, 31.24%) |
| Liana | (3, 0.79%) |
| Parasite | (2, 0.52%) |
| Epiphyte | (1, 0.26%). |

The biological spectrum of the study area as compared with Raunkiaer's NBS shows that chamaephyte, hemicryptophyte, geophyte, and epiphyte have a lower percentage. The life forms of the area, which show higher percentage than those of normal spectrum, are therophytes, nanophanerophyte, hydrophyte, liana and parasite (Table 4). The higher percentage of therophytes

(31.24%), which occupies the first position in the area, is characteristic of the subtropics and often related to soil conditions and climate (Dadhich, 1982), that is favourable for the growth of annuals and therophytes, thereby best adapted to tide over the unfavorable condition in the form of seeds. Nanophanerophytes (19.16%) occupying the second position against NBS (15%) reveals that among phanerophytes shrubs are more dominant than the medium and small sized trees. It is also suggested that the dominance of therophytes and nanophanerophytes may have partly resulted from disturbance in vegetation and grazing in higher ground. The mega-, meso- and micro-phanerophytes (29.4%) are slightly higher to NBS (28%) being the characteristics of mixed forest with less foliage. Since the study area is in the hivalik range, it receives more rainfall and temperature, which favours the growth of phanerophytes more distributed in low areas around the lake. The hydrophyte has a little high percentage as it is restricted to low lying ground, especially in and around Renuka lake. The percentage of chamaephyte, hemicyclopediae and geophytes are lower, mainly due to biotic interference of animals as well as of humans.

In the present investigation, therophytes showed maximum divergence followed by nanophanerophytes. According to Raunkiaer's terminology, the phytoclimate of the area may be called as thermo-nanophanerophyte. Similar phytoclimatic association has also been reported by Trivedi and Sharma (1965) for Lucknow flora, Sharma and Dhakre (1993) from District Shahjahanpur, Uttar Pradesh.

Table 3*Number of life forms in different families of Renuka Wildlife Sanctuary*

| Sl. No. | Family | Ph | | | | | | | Ch | H | Cr | | Th |
|------------|-----------------|-----|----|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|
| | | MMM | MM | M | N | L | P | E | | | G | HH | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| 1 | Acanthaceae | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |
| 2 | Acoraceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| 3 | Adiantaceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 4 | Agavaceae | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 5 | Alismataceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| 6 | Amaranthaceae | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 7 | Amaryllidaceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| 8 | Anacardiaceae | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 9 | Apiaceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| 10 | Apocynaceae | - | - | 4 | 4 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 11 | Araceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - |
| 12 | Araliaceae | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 13 | Areceae | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 14 | Asclepiadaceae | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 15 | Asparagaceae | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 16 | Asteraceae | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | 18 |
| 17 | Balsaminaceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| 18 | Bambusaceae | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 19 | Begoniaceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 20 | Berberidaceae | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 21 | Bignoniaceae | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 22 | Bombaceae | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 23 | Boraginaceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| 24 | Brassicaceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| 25 | Burseraceae | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 26 | Caesalpiniaceae | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| 27 | Cannabaceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 28 | Celastraceae | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 29 | Chenopodiaceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| 30 | Cleomaceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 31 | Colchicaceae | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Contd...

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
|----|------------------|---|---|---|----|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|
| 32 | Combretaceae | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 33 | Commelinaceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 |
| 34 | Convolvulaceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 |
| 35 | Cordiaceae | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 36 | Coriariaceae | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 37 | Cornaceae | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 38 | Crassulaceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 39 | Cucurbitaceae | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 40 | Cupressaceae | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 41 | Cuscutaceae | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 42 | Cyperaceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| 43 | Dioscoreaceae | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 44 | Dipterocarpaceae | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 45 | Ebenaceae | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 46 | Ehretiaceae | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 47 | Equisetaceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| 48 | Euphorbiaceae | - | 3 | 5 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 |
| 49 | Fabaceae | - | 5 | 1 | 10 | 1 | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | 10 |
| 50 | Flacourtiaceae | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 51 | Gentianaceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| 52 | Geraniaceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| 53 | Gesneriaceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - |
| 54 | Hydrocharitaceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - |
| 55 | Hypericaceae | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 56 | Hypoxidaceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| 57 | Lamiaceae | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 |
| 58 | Lauraceae | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 59 | Liliaceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| 60 | Linaceae | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 61 | Loranthaceae | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 62 | Lythraceae | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 63 | Malpighiaceae | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 64 | Malvaceae | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 4 |
| 65 | Marseliaceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| 66 | Meliaceae | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 67 | Menispermaceae | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| 68 | Mimosaceae | - | 3 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Contd...

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
|-----|------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|
| 69 | Moraceae | | 1 | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 70 | Moringaceae | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 71 | Myrsinaceae | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 72 | Myrtaceae | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 73 | Nyctaginaceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 74 | Nymphaeaceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| 75 | Oleaceae | - | 3 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 76 | Onagraceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 77 | Orchidaceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| 78 | Oxalidaceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| 79 | Pedaliaceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 80 | Piperaceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 81 | Plantaginaceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| 82 | Plumbaginaceae | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 83 | Poaceae | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 4 | 1 | - | 9 |
| 84 | Polygalaceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 85 | Polygonaceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 3 |
| 86 | Portulacaceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| 87 | Proteaceae | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 88 | Pteridaceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| 89 | Punicaceae | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 90 | Ranunculaceae | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| 91 | Rhamnaceae | - | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 92 | Rosaceae | - | - | 1 | 4 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| 93 | Rubiaceae | - | - | 2 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |
| 94 | Rutaceae | - | 1 | 4 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 95 | Salicaceae | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 96 | Santalaceae | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 97 | Sapindaceae | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 98 | Sapotaceae | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 99 | Saururaceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| 100 | Scrophulariaceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 101 | Simaroubaceae | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 102 | Smilacaceae | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 103 | Solanaceae | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 |
| 104 | Sterculiaceae | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 105 | Tiliaceae | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 |

Contd...

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
|-----|----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|
| 106 | Typhaceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| 107 | Ulmaceae | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 108 | Urticaceae | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 109 | Verbenaceae | - | - | 2 | 6 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| 110 | Violaceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| 111 | Vitaceae | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 112 | Zingiberaceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| 113 | Zygophyllaceae | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |

Table 4

Biological spectra and phytoclimate of some North-West Himalayan regions based on sub life-form classes

| Region/ Phyto- climate | Life form classes (Percentage) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|------|------|------|
| | MMM | MM | M | N | Ch | H | G | HH | Th | L | P | E |
| Normal spectrum (Raunkiaer, 1934) | 28.00 | 15.00 | 9.00 | 26.00 | 4.00 | 2.00 | 13.00 | | | | | 3.00 |
| Lolab valley (Wali, 1966) Geochamaephytic | 11.00 | 17.20 | 17.20 | 17.60 | 23.80 | 0.40 | 7.90 | 3.00 | 1.30 | | | |
| Trikuta Hills (Kapur and Sarin, 1985) Therochamae- phytic | 9.44 | 14.45 | 22.94 | 13.87 | 4.62 | 1.73 | 31.02 | 1.55 | 0.19 | 0.19 | | |
| Chamba District (Sharma and Singh, 1995) Therocrypto- phytic | 0.30 | 3.30 | 4.41 | 8.12 | 4.71 | 15.03 | 16.94 | 2.81 | 39.28 | 4.11 | | 1.00 |
| Renuka WLS Theronano- phanerophytic (Present study) | 0.52 | 12.34 | 16.54 | 19.16 | 7.61 | 5.51 | 2.10 | 3.41 | 31.24 | 0.79 | 0.52 | 0.26 |

MMM- Megaphanerophyte, MM- Mesophanerophyte, M- Microphanerophyte, N- Nanophanerophyte.
Ch-Chamaephyte. G-Geophyte, HH-Hydrophyte and Halophyte, H-Hemicryptophyte, Th-Therophyte,
P-Parasite, L-Liana and E-Epiphyte.

Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to Shri Purushtam Ram, Forester for his assistance in conducting these field studies.

SUMMARY

Floristic studies were conducted in Renuka Wildlife Sanctuary from 1999 to 2003. A total of 395 species belonging to 316 genera and 115 families were collected and identified. It includes 228 species of medicinal and aromatic plants, 85 species of exotics. The biological spectrum of study area reveals that the vegetation is therophanerophytic. The life forms of the area are Megaphanerophyte (0.52%), Mesophanerophyte (12.34%), Microphanerophyte (16.54%), Nanophanerophyte (19.16%), Chamaephyte (7.61%), Hemicryptophyte (5.51%), Geophyte (2.10%), Hydrophyte or marsh plants (3.41%), Therophyte (31.24%), Liana (0.79%), Parasite (0.52%) and Epiphyte (0.26%). The higher percentage of therophyte when compared to normal biological spectrum is due to the climate being favourable for growth of annuals. It is also presumed that the dominance of therophytes and nanophanerophytes may have partly resulted from disturbance in vegetation and grazing.

रेणुका वन्यप्राणि अभयारण्य, हिमाचल प्रदेश की पादप रचना, जीवरूप और जैविकीय वर्णपट
एस०पी० सुब्रमणि, विनीत जिष्टु, आर०क० वर्मा व कौ०एस० कपूर

सारांश

रेणुका वन्यप्राणि अभयारण्य में 1999 से 2003 तक पादप अध्ययन किया गया। वहाँ से कुल मिलाकर 115 कुलों की 316 प्रजातियों की 395 पादप जातियाँ इकट्ठा करके पहचानी गईं। इनमें से 228 जातियाँ औषध और सौरभिक पादपों की हैं तथा 85 जातियाँ परदेशीय हैं। अधीत क्षेत्र के जैविकीय वर्णपट से पता चलता है कि यहाँ की वनस्पतियाँ बीजातिजीव क्षुद्र उन्मृदोदभिद हैं। इस क्षेत्र में जीवरूप महाउन्मृदोदभिद (0.25%) मध्य उन्मृदोदभिद (12.34%) लघुउन्मृदोदभिद (16.54%) क्षुद्र उन्मृदोदभिद (19.16%), मृदोदभिद (7.61%), तलोदभिद (5.51%), भूम्योदभिद (2.10%) जलोदभिद या दलदली पादप (3.41%) बीजातिबीजोदभिद (31.24%), काष्ठारोही (0.79%) परजीवी (0.52%) और पररोही (0.26%) हैं। सामान्य जैविकीय वर्णपट की तुलना में बीजातिबीजोदभिदों का अधिक प्रतिशत यहाँ की जलवायु के वार्षिक पादपों की वृद्धि के अनुकूल होने के कारण है। यह भी अनुमान लगाया गया है कि बीजातिबीजोदभिदों और क्षुद्र उन्मृदादभिदों की अधिरोहिता वनस्पतियों में हुए विक्षोभ और चराई की जाने के कारण से हो सकती है।

References

- Aswal, B.S. and B.N. Mehrotra (1994). *Flora of Lahaul-Spiti (A cold desert in North-West Himalaya)*.
Bishen Singh Mahendra Pal Singh, Dehra Dun.
- Cain, S.A. (1950). Life-forms ans phytoclimates. *Bot. Rev.*, **16**: 1-32
- Campion, H.G. and S.K. Seth (1968). *A Revised Survey of Forest Types of India*. Manager of Publications, Gvernement of India, Delhi.
- Chaterjee, D. (1939). Studies on the endemic flora of India and Burma. *J. Royal Asiatic Soc. Bengal (Sci.)*, **5**:19-67.
- Chopra, R.N., S.L. Nayar and I.C. Chopra (1956). *Glossary of Indian Medicinal Plants*. CSIR, New Delhi.
- Chowdhery, H.J. and B.M. Wadhwa (1984). *Flora of Himachal Pradesh*, Vol 1-3. Botanical Survey of India, Calcutta.

- Collett, H. (1902). *Flora Simlensis* (revised edn.). Thacker Spink and Co., Calcutta.
- Dadhich, L.K. (1982). The biologica spectrum of flora of Jhamarkotra. *Acta. Ecol.*, **4**: 17-20.
- Dhaliwal, D.S. and M. Sharma (1999). *Flora of Kullu, Himachal Pradesh*. Bishen Singh Mahendra Pal Singh, Dehra Dun.
- Ellenberg, H. and D. Mueller-Dombois (1967). A key to Raunkiaer plant life forms with revised sub-divisions. *Ber. Geobot. Inst. E.T.H.*, Sitig. Rubel. Zurich, **37** : 56-73.
- Hooker, J.D. (1872-97). *The Flora of British India*. Vol. 1-7. L. Reeve and Co., London.
- Kala, C.P. and G.S. Rawat (2004). Floral Diversity and Species richness in the Valley of Flowers National Park, Western Himalaya. *J. Econ. Taxon. Bot.*, **28**(1): 43-51.
- Kanjilal, U. (1979). *Forest flora of the Chakrata, Dehra Dun and Saharanpur Forest Divisions, United Provinces* (3rd edn.). Bishen Singh Mahendra Pal Singh, Dehra Dun.
- Kapoor, K.S. and R. Singh (1990). Life forms and biological spectrum of the temperate grasslands with varying biotic pressures in Shimla Hills, Himachal Pradesh. *Range Management and Agroforestry*, **11**(2): 109-114.
- Kapur, S.K. (1985). Observations on the floristic composition of Kangra Valley (Himachal Pradesh). *NEW Botanist*, **12**: 157-165.
- Kapur, S.K. and Y.K. Sarin (1985). Studies on the life form classification and biological spectrum of the flora of Trikuta Hills. *J. Econ. Taxon. Bot.* **6**:515-522.
- Kapur, S.K. and Y.K. Sarin (1990). *Flora of Trikuta Hills (Shri Vaishno Devi Shrine)*. Bishen Singh Mahendra Pal Singh, Dehra Dun.
- Kaur, H. and M. Sharma (2004). *Flora of Sirmaur (Himachal Pradesh)*. Bishen Singh Mahendra Pal Singh, Dehra Dun.
- Kirtikar, K.R. and B.D. Basu (1987). *Indian Medicinal Plants*, Vol. 1-7. International Book Distributors, Dehra Dun.
- Nair, N.C. (1977). *Flora of Bashahr Himalayas*, International Bioscience Publications, Hissar.
- Nayar, M.P. (1996). *Hot Spots of Endemic Plants of India, Nepal and Bhutan*. Tropical Botanic Garden and Research Institute, Thiruvananthapuram.
- Raizada, M.B. and H.O. Saxena (1978). *Flora of Mussoorie*, Vol-I. Bishen Singh Mahendra Pal Singh, Dehra Dun.
- Rao, C.C. (1968). Biological spectrum of Karamnasa watershed flora (Varanasi, India). *Proc. Symposium on recent advances in Tropical Ecology*, Part-II (R. Misra and B. Gopal, eds). Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi.
- Raunkiaer, C. (1934). *The life forms of plants and statistical plant geography*. Clarendon Press, Oxford. 632 p.
- Ravikumar, K. and D.K. Ved, (2000). *Illustrated Field Guide : 100 Red-Listed Medicinal plants of conservation concern in Southern India*. FRLHT, Bangalore.
- Sharma, M. and H. Singh (1990). Observations on floristic composition of Chamba District (Himachal Pradesh). *New Botanist*, **17**: 272-281.
- Sharma, S.C. and J.S. Dhakre (1983). Life form classification and biological spectrum of the flora of Shahjahanpur District, Uttar Pradesh (India). *Ind. J. For.*, **16**(4): 366-371.
- Sharma, M. and H. Singh (1995). Life-forms and biological spectrum of the flora of Chamba District (Himachal Pradesh). *Taxonomy and Biodiversity* (Pandey, A.K., ed). CBS Publishers & Distributors, New Delhi. pp. 81-86.
- Sharma, M. and D.S. Dhaliwal (1977). Biological spectrum of the flora of Kullu District (Himachal Pradesh). *J. Indian. Bot. Soc.*, **76** :283-284.
- Singh, S.K. and G.S. Rawat (2000). *Flora of Great Himalayan National Park, Himachal Pradesh*. Bishen Singh Mahendra Pal Singh, Dehra Dun. 304p.
- Singh, S., A. Kothari and P. Pande (1990). *Directory of National Parks and Sanctuaries in Himachal Pradesh, Management status and profiles*. Indian Institute of Public Administration, New Delhi. 164 p.

- Trivedi, B.S. and P.C. Sharma (1965). Biological spectrum of Lucknow flora. *Proc. Nat. Aca. Asi. India*, **35**(1): 15-20.
- Ved, D.K., G.A. Kinhal, K. Ravikumar, V. Prabhakaran, U. Ghate, R. Vijay Sankar and J.H. Indresha (2003). *Conservation and Assessment and Management Prioritization for medicinal plants of Jammu and Kashmir, Himachal Pradesh and Uttaranchal*. FRLHT, Bangalore. 206p.
- Verma, R.K., S.P. Subramani, K.S. Kapoor and S. Kumar (2005). Status of plant diversity around Renuka Lake in Renuka Wildlife Sanctuary, Himachal Pradesh. *Encironment & Ecology* **23**(1): 158-163.
- Wali, M.K. (1966). Life forms and biological spectrum of Lolab valley, Kashmir in relation to climate. *J. Bombay Nat. Hsit. Soc.*, **63**: 115-122.