[October,

ROLE OF FRUGIVOROUS BIRDS IN SEED DISPERSAL IN THE MIXED DRY DECIDUOUS FORESTS OF ATTAPPADY AND ANAIKATTY, WESTERN GHATS

R. ARUNA, P. BALASUBRAMANIAN AND P. RADHAKRISHNAN*

Salim Ali Centre for Ornithology and Natural History, Coimbatore (Tamil Nadu).

Introduction

Fleshy fruits, which are apparently adapted for consumption by vertebrate seed-dispersers are very common in most tropical forests (Willson et al., 1989). The survival of a plant species is best ensured by the dispersal of fruits and seeds to as many different and far off localities as possible (Venkateswaralu, 1982). Among the regular methods of seed dispersal, birds play a vital role, because of their fruit eating habits and the long distances they travel (Ridley, 1936). It is generally regarded that seeds defecated by birds germinate much faster than the normal seeds. A variety of birds and mammals depend predominantly on fruits to fulfill their nutritional requirements. Frugivorous animals also help seeds to escape from the deleterious effects of seed and seedling predators (Janzen, 1970). Due to the fact that forest birds are generally more abundant than mammals, birds are expected to move large quantities of seeds from the parent plants.

The study of relationships between bird-dispersed plants and fruit-eating birds in the tropical region has received considerable attention (Howe and Estabrook, 1977; Frost, 1980; Beehler, 1983; Wheelwright et al., 1984; Gautier-Hion et al., 1985; Lambert, 1989; Dowsett-Lemaire, 1988) Green (1993) has dealt on the role of birds in the restoration of tropical forests in Australian region. According to Green (1993) frugivores can also be poor dispersers (disperse a few seeds), fruit thieves (eat fruits but leave the seeds on or under the tree) or seed predators (digest the seeds). Hence, it is imperative to know which bird in a community is the ideal disperser of a particular plant species of that area. In India, only a few studies have been undertaken (Ali, 1931; Vijayan, 1975; Balasubramanian, 1996; Balasubramanian et al., 1998). Hence the present study was undertaken in an important landscape in Western Ghats. The study aimed to document avian frugivory and find out the plant species that depend on birds for seed dispersal and suggest such plants for restoration of the degraded landscapes.

Study Area

The study was carried out in Attappady $(10^{\circ} 55' - 11^{\circ} 14' \text{ North latitudes})$ and $76^{\circ} 27' - 76^{\circ} 48'$ East longitudes) and the adjoining Anaikatty reserve forests

^{*}Attappady Hills Area Development Society, Agali (Kerala).

2009] Role of Frugivorous Birds in Seed Dispersal in the Mixed Dry Deciduous ... 1309

(11° 5' - 11° 31' North latitudes, 76° 39' - 76° 47' East longitudes) in the Western Ghats. Attappady is located at the middle eastearn portion of Kerala stretching over an area of 745 km² in Palakkad Revenue District touching the Nilgiri and Coimbatore districts of Tamil Nadu as its Northern and Eastern boundaries, respectively. The Ecosystem of Attappady is highly fragile to its physiographical and due meteorological characteristics. Excessive fragmentation of the land holdings and unscientific agricultural practices made the soil and vegetation in a poor state. The region is totally degraded, only remnant vegetation is found. Anaikatty Reserve Forest is a part of the Nigiri Biosphere Reserve, occurs at an elevation of 610 -750 m amsl. This is an undulating terrain predominated by mixed dry deciduous forest.

Methods

Observations were carried out both in degraded and undisturbed sites. Degraded site comprised of small patches of mixed dry deciduous vegetation which are less dense occurring in Attappady. The undisturbed site occurs in Anaikatty, comprised of large patches of mixed dry deciduous forests. The study was carried out during October 2006 -September 2007.

Avian frugivory was documented by recording the activities of birds foraging on fruit-bearing plants. Two methods were followed; in the first method, extended watches were carried out in focal fruit bearing trees to record frugivore visitation. Plants selected for observation had drupes, berries or similar soft fruits having one or a few seeds or with composite fruits with many small seeds (Moraceae). The observer sat 10 m away from the focal plant, and observed the activities of avian visitors with the help of a pair of binoculars. Observations were usually done between 6.00 am and 9.00 am. The visit by each individual bird followed by pecking/ swallowing of fruits was considered as a fruit-feeding visit. In the second method, birds foraging on fruit-bearing plants were recorded while walking along the census transects. The number of birds in a foraging flock and the fruit species eaten by birds were noted. Most of the observations were done within four hours after sunrise, which is the most active foraging time for birds.

Results

Fruit-eating birds : During the extended bird feeding watches on 32 fleshy-fruited plant species, 29 fruit-eating birds were recorded (Table 1). Among the 29 fruiteating species, Psittacula krameri, P. columboides are considered as seed predators as they mainly consumed the seeds. Remaining species of birds ate the fruits whole or partly, and regurgitated/ defecated the seeds and hence, considered as seed dispersers. Among the frugivore visitors, bulbuls (5 species) made highest number of feeding visits (45%), followed by mynas, 2 species (16%), babblers, 3 species (14.50%) and others that include barbets, koel, etc. Amog the bird species, Red-vented Bulbul (Pycnonotus cafer) (15.10%), followed by Red-whiskered Bulbul (Pycnonotus jocosus) (14.5%), White-browed Bulbul (Pycnonotus luteolus) (14.30%), Common Myna (Acridotheres tristis) (14.10%) and Yellow-billed Babbler (Turdoides affinis) (11.20%) were recorded as frequent frugivore visitors. These species visited large number of plant species as well as made frequent fruit

Indian Forester

Table 1

Sl. No.	Common Name	Scientific Name	# of visits	%
1.	Red-vented Bulbul	Pycnonotus cafer	781	15.10
2.	Red-whiskered Bulbul	Pycnonotus jocosus	747	14.50
3.	White-browed Bulbul	Pycnonotus luteolus	738	14.30
4.	Common Myna	Acridotheres tristis	725	14.10
5.	Yellow-billed Babbler	Turdoides affinis	579	11.20
6.	Asian Koel	Eudynamys scolopacea	339	6.50
7.	Copper-smith Barbet	Megalaima rubricapilla	205	3.90
8.	Jungle Babbler	Turdoides striatus	141	2.70
9.	Green-billed Malkoha	Phaenicophaeus tristis	118	2.30
10.	Crimson-throated Barbet	Megalaima haemacephala	113	2.20
11.	Brahminy Starling	Sturnus pagodarum	103	1.90
12.	Indian TreePie	Dendrocitta vagabunda	71	1.40
13.	Gold fronted Leafbird	Chloropsis aurifrons	68	1.30
14.	Common Iora	Aegithina tiphia	60	1.20
15.	House Crow	Corvus splendens	54	1.00
16.	Large Green Barbet	Megalaima zeylanica	49	0.90
17.	Eurasian Golden Oriole	Oriolus oriolus	43	0.80
18.	Rose-ringed Parakeet	Psittacula krameri	42	0.80
19.	Black Bulbul	$Hypsipetes\ madagas cariens is$	41	0.80
20.	Blue-winged Parakeet	Psittacula columboides	39	0.70
21.	Rufous Babbler	Turdoides subrufus	33	0.60
22.	Indian Peafowl	Pavo cristatus	16	0.30
23.	Great Tit	Parus major	13	0.20
24.	Tickell's Flowerpecker	Dicaeum erythrorhynchos	12	0.20
25.	Grey-headed Bulbul	Pycnonotus priocephalus	8	0.60
26.	Grey Junglefowl	Gallus sonneratii	8	0.15
27.	Pompadour Green Pigeon	Treron pompadora	6	0.10
28.	Blyth's Reed Warbler	Acrocephalus dumetorum	5	0.09
29.	Thick-billed Flowerpecker	Dicaeum agile	1	0.01

Observed frugivory by birds during extended watches on fruit bearing trees

feeding visits. About 70% of the fruit feeding visits were made by these five species. Hence, these species could be considered as major frugivores and seed dispersers of the study area. In addition, Koel (*Eudynamys scolopacea*) and barbets (*Megalaima spp*) were also found to be important for seed dispersal. 2009] Role of Frugivorous Birds in Seed Dispersal in the Mixed Dry Deciduous ... 1311

Bird-attracting plants: During the transect walk count, fruits of 40 plant species were found to be eaten by birds (Table 2). Among the 40 species, the exotic weed *Lantana*

camara attracted maximum number of birds (22.97%). Notable native trees which attracted birds include Santalum album (4.28%), Celtis philippensis (3.41%), Ficus

		01		U	
Sl. No.	Species	Habit	# of birds observed	% proportion	# of frugivorous species observed
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	Lantana camara*	Shrub	580	22.55	11
2	Flueggea virosa	Shrub	158	6.14	11
3	Ziziphus oenoplia	Shrub	145	5.64	14
4	Carmona retusa	Shrub	112	4.35	7
5	Cipadessa baccifera	Shrub	135	5.25	8
6	Santalum album	Tree	108	4.20	8
7	Scutia myrtina	Shrub	106	4.12	7
8	Breynia rhamnoides	Shrub	101	3.93	9
9	Celtis philippensis	Tree	86	3.34	7
10	Ficus racemosa	Tree	85	3.30	10
11	Trema orientalis*	Tree	74	2.88	6
12	Flacourtia indica	Tree	69	2.68	15
13	Toddalia asiatica	Straggler	65	2.53	5
14	Canthium dicoccum	Tree	64	2.49	15
15	Syzygium cumini	Tree	60	2.33	7
16	Cassine glauca	Tree	56	2.18	17
17	Benkara malabarica	Shrub	52	2.02	17
18	Streblus asper	Tree	51	1.98	9
19	Pleurostylia opposita	Tree	50	1.94	15
20	Ziziphus mauritiana	Tree	39	1.52	11
21	Premna tomentosa	Tree	35	1.36	6
22	Pithecellobium dulce*	Tree	32	1.24	6
23	Glycosmis pentaphylla	Shrub	28	1.09	6
24	Maba buxifolia	Tree	27	1.05	6
25	Cordia obliqua	Tree	24	0.93	11
26	Clausena dentata	Shrub	23	0.89	7

Bird-attracting plants observed in the study area

Table 2

Contd...

Indian Forester

[October,

1	2	3	4	5	6
27	Ficus religiosa	Tree	19	0.74	6
28	Ficus benghalensis	Tree	18	0.70	21
29	Glycosmis mauritiana	Shrub	18	0.70	8
30	Azadirachta indica	Tree	16	0.62	2
31	Ficus mollis	Tree	15	0.58	8
32	Ehretia laevis	Tree	14	0.54	6
33	Carissa carandas	Shrub	12	0.47	8
34	Ficus microcarpa	Tree	12	0.47	17
35	Diospyros montana	Tree	12	0.47	10
36	Carissa spinarum	Shrub	10	0.39	7
37	Murraya paniculata	Shrub	6	0.23	5
38	Mallotus philippensis	Tree	6	0.23	2
39	Cordia monoica	Tree	1	0.04	1
40	Capparis grandis	Tree	1	0.04	1

*Exotics

racemosa (3.37%), Canthium dicoccum (2.53%), Syzygium cumini (2.38%), Cassine glauca (2.22%) and Streblus asper (2.02%). Highest number of bird species was recorded in *Ficus benghalensis* (n=21) followed by *Ficus microcarpa*, *Benkara malabarica* and Cassine glauca, 17 species each.

A total of 17 plant families were found to attract avian frugivores in the study area. Predominant plant families that attracted frugivorous birds include, Moraceae (6 species), Rutaceae (5 species), Euphorbiaceae (4 species) and Rubiaceae (4 species) (Fig. 1). Moraceae, Rutaceae, Euphorbiaceae, Rubiaceae, Rhamnaceae and Verbenaceae members constituted about 60 % of the food plants for frugivores. Families that comprised only a few species but favoured by birds include Santalaceae, Meliaceae and Capparaceae. Important plant species for frugivores in the study area include *Ficus benghalensis, Ficus* *microcarpa* and *Ficus mollis* belonging to the family Moraceae.

Discussion

Fruiting plants that sustain frugivores during times of general fruit scarcity are known as "keystone species" (Leighton and Leighton, 1983; Terborgh, 1986a; Lambert and Marshall, 1991). They are of great ecological significance because they appear to set the carrying capacity of the frugivore community (Terborgh, 1986b). Fruiting fig trees attract highly diverse frugivores assemblages that disperse huge number of seeds over large areas. Figs (Ficus) have been identified as keystone plants for frugivore communities in South American and South-East Asian tropical forests during lean periods (Leighton and Leighton, 1983; Terborgh, 1986a; Kannan and James, 1999). In southern India, figs form a major diet of several avian frugivores. Ficus spp. (Moraceae)



Fig. 1

Dominant bird-attracting plant families in Anaikatty and Attappady (n=17)

supported diversity of avian frugivores in the study area; 21 species of avian frugivores fed on *Ficus* spp.

Birds are recognized as the main dispersal agent of many invasive plant species (Glyphis et al., 1981; Dean and Milton, 2000; Stansbury, 2001; Renne et al., 2002). Lantana camara, an exotic shrub attracted large number of avian frugivores in the present study; 23% of feeding observations by birds were recorded on Lantana camara. Mishra and Mishra (1996) recorded eight species of birds eating Lantana camara fruits in Central India. Balasubramanian et al (1998) recorded 13 avian frugivores on Lantana camara in the Western Ghats. In the present study, 11 bird species were recorded to feed on Lantana camara. In all these sites bulbuls, mynas and barbets are found to be the major frugivores on Lantana camara.

Bulbuls have established themselves as one of the dominant frugivores and seed dispersers in scrub jungles or forests of secondary vegetation in Asia, Africa and the Middle East (Lever, 1987). Most species of bulbuls are frugivores and important seed dispersers. (Kitamura et al., 2002). Fruits comprised a major proportion of diet for Pycnonotus luteolus and Pycnonotus cafer in the tropical dry evergreen forest, Point Calimere, India (Vijayan, 1975). In Point Calimere, 74% of the feeding visits by birds on fruit bearing plants were made by two species of bulbuls, P. cafér and P. luteolus (Balasubramanian, 1996). In a dry mixed deciduous forest in Western Ghats, bulbuls are the predominant frugivorous and seed dispersing species (Balasubramanian et al., 1998). In the sub-tropical shrublands of Hong Kong, two species of bulbuls Redwhiskered Bulbul (Pycnonotus jocosus) and

Light-vented Bulbul (*Pycnonotus* sinensis)were responsible for a large proportion of seed dispersal (Corlett, 1998, Weir and Corlett, 2007). In the present study, majority of the fruit-feeding visits (44.50%) were made by five species of bulbuls. It indicates that they are the principal frugivores and potential seed

dispersers in the dry forest habitats across the tropics.

In conclusion, it is stated that to restore the degraded dry deciduous forest sites in Attappady, bird-attracting native tree species reported in the paper could be chosen for planting.

Acknowledgements

This paper forms an offshoot a research project, "Plant-bird interactions in Attappady" sanctioned by the Attappady Hills Area Development Society (AHADS) to the Salim Ali Centre for Ornithology and Natural History (SACON). P.B. thanks AHADS Director and Research Advisory Committee for awarding this project; Shri V.K. Uniyal, Director and Mr. Santosh Jacob, former faculty of AHADS for their inputs and Drs. Lalitha Vijayan and P.A. Azeez, Senior Principal Scientists of SACON for the encouragement.

SUMMARY

The Attappady Hills Area Development Society (AHADS) as part of eco-restoration programmes in Attappady hills, Western Ghats, initiated tree planting programmes to identify the bird-attracting species. Study sites were chosen in the degraded dry deciduous forests of Attappady and the adjoining Anaikatty reserve forests representing the mixed dry deciduous forest. Frugivorous birds were ascertained by making extended feeding watches on fleshy-fruited plant species and bird counts along transects. Twenty nine bird species were observed to eat fruits. Among the frugivore visitors, bulbuls (5 species) made highest number of feeding visits (45%), followed by mynas, 2 species (16%), babblers, 3 species (14.5%) and others. A total of 40 bird-attracting species were recorded in the study area. Moraceae represented by six species was found to be the most dominant bird-attracting family followed by Rutaceae and Euphorbiaceae. Among the 40 species, *Ficus benghalensis* attracted maximum number of avian frugivores followed by *Ficus microcarpa*, *Benkara malabarica*, *Cassine glauca* and *Canthium dicoccum*. A suggestion is made to plant the bird-attracting native species in the degraded sites of Attappady.

Key words : Frugivory, Birds, Seed dispersal, Western Ghats.

अट्टपाडि और अनैकट्टी पश्चिमी घाट प्रदेश के मिश्र शुष्क पर्णपाती वनों में बीज विकिरण में फल भक्षी पक्षियों की भूमिका आर० अरुणा, पी० बालसुब्रामनियन् व पी० राधाकृष्णन्

सारांश

अट्टापाडि पर्वतीय क्षेत्र विकास परिषद ने अट्टापाडि पहाडियों पश्चिमी घाट प्रदेश के पुनस्थापन कार्यक्रमों के अंगस्वरूप पक्षियों को आकर्षित करती जातियों का पता लगाने के लिए एक वृक्षारोपण कार्यक्रम आरम्भ किया है। इसके अध्ययन स्थल अट्टाापाडि के व्याह्रसित शुष्क पर्णपाती वनों और उनके साथ लगते अनैकट्टी आरक्षित वन में चुने गए जो मिश्र शुष्क पर्णपाती वन का प्रतिनिधित्व करते हैं। फलभक्षी पक्षियों का पता गूदेदार फल पादपों पर भोजन करते पक्षियों की विस्तारपूर्वक चौकसी करते और सक्षेत्रों के किनारे–किनारे पक्षियों की गिनती करके लगाया गया। उनत्तीस पक्षिजातियां फलों को खाती पर्यवेक्षित हुई। फलभक्षी आगन्तुकों में बुलबुले (पांच जातियां)

2009] Role of Frugivorous Birds in Seed Dispersal in the Mixed Dry Deciduous ... 1315

सर्वाधिक संख्या में भोजन करने वहां आई (45%), इसके बाद मैना (2%) जातियां (16%) सतभैया, 3 जातियां (14.5%) और अन्य पक्षि रहे। कुल मिलाकर 40 पक्षि आकर्षक पादप जातियां अधीत क्षेत्र से आलेखित की गई। इनमें वटकुल, जिसकी यहां छह जातियां थी सर्वाधिक बहुल पक्षि आकर्षक कुल पाया गया जिसके पश्चात निम्बु कुल और एरण्ड कुल आते हैं। 40 जातियों में से *फाइकस बेगालेसिस* ने फलभक्षी पक्षिजातों की अधिकतम संख्या को आकर्षित किया जिसके पश्चात *फाइकस माइक्रोकार्पा, बेंकांरा मलाबारिका, कैसाइन ग्लौका* और *कैन्धियम डायकोक्कम* आते हैं। अट्टापटि के व्याह्रसित स्थलों में पक्षियों को आकर्षित करने वाली देशज पादप जातियां रोपने का सुझाव भी दिया गया है।

References

- Ali, S. (1931). The role of sunbirds and flower peckers in the propagation and distribution of the tree parasite *Loranthus longiflorus* Desr. in the Konkan (W. India). J. Bom. Nat. Hist. Soc., **35** : 144-149.
- Balasubramanian, P. (1996). Interactions between fruit-eating birds and bird-dispersed plants in the tropical dry evergreen forest in Point Calimere, South India. J. Bom. Nat. Hist. Soc., 93 (3): 428-441.
- Balasubramanian, P., S.N. Prasad and K. Kandavel (1998). Role of birds in seed dispersal and natural regeneration of forest plants in Tamil Nadu. SACON Technical Report No.7. Coimbatore, India.
- Beehler, B. (1983). Frugivory and polygamy in birds of Paradise. Auk, 100: 1-12
- Corlett, R.T. (1998). Frugivory and seed dispersal by birds in Hong Kong shrubland. *Forktail*, **13**: 23-37
- Dowsett-Lemaire, F. (1988). Fruit choice and seed dissemination in the evergreen forests of upland Malawi. *Rev. Ecology (Terre et vie)*, **43**: 251-285.
- Dean, W.R.J. and S.J. Milton (2000). Directed dispersal of *Opuntia* species in the Karoo, South Africa: are crows the responsible agents? J. Arid Environ., **15** : 305–311.
- Frost, P.G.H. (1980). Fruit-frugivore interactions in a South African coastal dune forest. Acta XVII Congressus internationalist Ornithologici (Nohring, R., ed.) Veralg Der Deutschen Ornighologen-Gessellschaft, Berlin. pp. 1179-1184.
- Gautier-Hion, A., J.M. Duplantier, R. Quris, F. Feer, C. Sourd, J.P. Decoux, G. Dubost, L. Emmons, C. Erard, P. Hecketsweiler, A. Moungazi, C. Roussilhon and J.M.Thiollay (1985). Fruit characters as a basis of fruit choice and seed dispersal in a tropical forest vertebrate community. Oecologia, 65: 324-337.
- Glyphis, J.P., S.J. Milton, and W.R. Siegfried (1981). Dispersal of Acacia cyclops by birds. Oecologia, 48: 138-141.
- Green, R.J. (1993). Avian seed dispersal in and near sub-tropical rainforests. *Wildlife Res.*, **20**: 535-557.
- Howe, H.F. and G.F. Estabrook (1977). On intra-specific competition for avian dispersers in tropical trees. Am. Nat., 3: 817-832.
- Janzen, D.H. (1970). Herbivores and the number of tree species in tropical forests. Am. Nat., 104: 501-528.
- Kannan, R. and D.A. James (1999). Fruiting phenology and the conservation of the Great Pied hornbill (Buceros bicornis) in the Western Ghats of southern India. Biotropica, 31 : 167-177.
- Kitamura, S., T.Yumoto, P.Poonswad, P.Chuailua, K. Plongmai, T.Maruhashi, and N.Noma (2002). Interactions between fleshy fruits and frugivores in a tropical seasonal forest in Thailand. Oecologia, 133: 559-572.

- Lambert, F.R. (1989). Fig-eating by birds in a Malaysian lowland rain forest. J. Trop. Ecol., 5: 401-412.
- Lambert, F.R, and A.G. Marshall (1991) Keystone characteristics of bird-dispersed *Ficus* in a Malaysian lowland rain forest. J. Ecol., **79**: 793-809.
- Leighton, M. and D.R. Leighton (1983) Vertebrate responses to fruiting seasonality within a Borneon rain forest. Tropical rain forest: ecology and management (Sutton, S.L., T.C. Whitmore and A.C. Chadwick, eds.). British Ecological Society, Special Publication No. 2. Blackwell Scientific Publication, Oxford. pp. 181-196.
- Lever, C. (1987). Naturalized birds of the world. Longman, England.
- Mishra and Mishra (1996). Ecology of bird mediated seed dispersal of *Lantana camara* (Linn.) in tropical deciduous forest of central India. *Proc. First Indian Ecology Congress*, New Delhi.
- Renne, I.J., W.C. Barrow, L.A.J. Randall and W.C Bridges (2002) Generalized avian dispersal syndrome contributes to Chinese tallow tree (Sapium sebiferum, Euphorbiaceae) invasiveness. Diversity and Distributions, 8: 285-295.
- Ridley, H.N. (1936). The dispersal of plants throughout the world. L. Reeve & Co., Ltd., Ashford, UK.
- Stansbury, C.D. (2001). Dispersal of the environmental weed bridal creeper Asparagus asparagoides by silvereyes Zosterops lateralis in South-western Australia. *Emu*, **101**: 39–45.
- Terborgh, J. (1986a). Keystone plant resources in the tropical forest. Conservation biology: The source of scarcity and diversity (Soulé, M.E., ed.). Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts. pp. 330-344.
- Terborgh, J. (1986b).Community aspects of frugivory in tropical forests. Frugivores and seed dispersal (Estrada, A. and T.H. Fleming, eds.). Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts. pp. 371-384.
- Venkateswaralu, V.L. (1982). External morphology of Angiosperms. S. Chand & Co. Ltd., New Delhi.
- Vijayan, V.S. (1975). Ecological isolation of bulbuls with special reference to Pycnonotus cafer and Pycnonotus luteolus at Point Calimere, Tamil Nadu. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Bombay, Bombay.
- Weir, J.E.S. and R.T. Corlett. (2007). How far do birds disperse seeds in the degraded tropical landscape of Hong Kong, China? *Landscape Ecology*, **15**: 4521-4532.
- Wheelwright, N.T., W.A. Haber, K.G. Murray and C.Guindon (1984). Tropical fruit-eating birds and their food plants: A study of a Costa Rican lower montane forest. *Biotropica*, 16: 173-192.
- Willson, M.F., A.K. Irvine and N.G. Walsh (1989). Vertebrate dispersal syndromes in some Australian and New Zealand plant communities with geographic comparisons. *Biotropica*, 21(2): 133-147.