1960年15661年

we defined to fire

PRELIMINARY STUDIES ON CHEMICAL WEED CONTROL IN EUCALYPTUS (HYBRID) NURSERY

DEC N. SWAMI RAO, DASAPPA & C.D. SINGH

Department of Farm Forestry, University of Agricultural Sciences,

Bangalore

attroduction

Bangalore

Bangalore

Bangalore MATTER OF THE

Introduction

duction

Weeds in the forest nurseries always come in the way of raising quality seedlings. Removal of weeds by handweeding is time consuming, difficult and expensive. Sametimes young seedlings are also uprooted along with weeds causing measurable loss to the nursery. Weeds compete with nursery seedlings for water and nutrients and adversely affect their growth during the critical stages. Hence the weeds have to be controlled by some other less expensive and more effective means in order to obtain better growth of seedlings. Number of workers have tried chemical weedicides for controlling weeds in Pre- or post forest nurseries. emergence weedicides have been widely used for conifer nurseries and occasionally for poplars and container grown Eucalypts with satisfactory results (MeCavish 1977, Bacon 1979, Biggin 1979, Weatherspoon 1981, Donald 1982, Magnani 1976, Haramaki, 1978). Attempts are also made to control weeds by sterilizing the soil with chemicals (Aquir 1975). Eucalyptus nursery beds normally contain large number of both dicot and monocot weeds which are difficult to control by hand weeding. A trial was, therefore, carried out suitable chemical weedicides for effective control of weeds in Eucalyptus nursery beds.

Material and Method

The trial was carried out in the forest nursery located at GKVK Campus of the University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore. Sunken beds of 10' x 4' dimension were prepared in early December and incorporated with well decomposed farm yard manure. After covering the beds with a layer of fine sand, measured quantities of seeds were sown uniformly by broadcasting. The beds were then watered with rosecan. The following day beds were again watered and aqueous solutions of weedicides viz., Simazine at 1 kg/ha, Basalin (Profluralin) at 1.5 kg/ha, Pendimethaline (Stemp 30 EC) at 1.5 kg/ha and Ronster (Oxadiazon) at 0.5 kg/ha were sprayed on to the bed according to treatment. The trial consisted of five treatments including control replicated four times. Observations on weed density and other growth parameters were made at regular intervals. 3.351、多点标识证、2.378、参注案

Results and Discussion

Germination: Maximum germination of Eucaluptus seeds (80%) was obser-

ved in control as compared to other treatments followed by Basalin treated plots. But Pendimethaline and Ronster treatments reduced germination percentage a greater extent (See Table 2). Simazine was proved to be lethal to both weeds & Eucalyptus seedlings as it did not show any germination. Basalin treatment indicated that weedicide is not harmful to the germinating Eucalyptus seeds but was selective against germination of weed seeds. Pendimethaline and Ronster which significantly reduced germination of Eucalyptus seeds are, therefore not suitable for Eucalyptus nurseries.

Weed population: Observations on Individual weed species in each treatment (Table 1) indicated that among the 22 dicot weeds recorded, Euphorbia geniculata, hirta, Ecliota alba and Ageratumconuzoides dominated over other weeds in all the treatments. However, these weeds were more sensitive to Basalin than other weedicides. Eclipta alba and Euphorbia hirta, however, were more sensitive to pendimethaline. Borreria stricta which was found in maximum number in control plots was completely suppressed with Basalin treatment and also its population was significantly reduced in other treatments. The population Oldenlandia umbellata, Amaranthes viridis & Oxalis corniculata was considerable in control plots. But all these weeds were found to be sensitive to weedicide treatments. Other dicot weeds were distributed in different treatments and their number was not significant. As compared to dicot weeds monocot weeds were few in number in most of the treatments. Out of the 10 species of monocots Eragrostis sp. and Digitaria marginata were conspicuous in control plot by their presence in large numbers. Most of the monocot weeds were found to be sansitive to all the weedicides used and were effectively controlled.

Seedling density: Density of Eucalyptus seedlings per sq. ft in the Basalin treated plots was comparable to that of control indicating that Basalin while being least harmful to the young seedlings was also effective in reducing weed competition. In other two treatments seedling density was significantly reduced due to reduced germination percentage under these treatments.

Density of Weeds: It may noted from Table 2 & 3 that density of both dicot and monocot weeds was highest in plots followed by Ronster, Comparatively number of dicot weeds Basalin and Pendimethaline treatments minimum. Was addition, these two treatments completely suppresed monocot The results indicate that weeds. Basalin is most effective against all types of weeds. Pendimethaline though effective in controlling weeds had some adverse effect on Eucalyptus seed germination and seedling growth.

Growth of seedlings: (Table 3) Average height of seedlings was significantly higher in Basalin treated plots followed by Pendimethaline. In addition seedlings were healthy as indicated by their vigour. Seedling

Weeds and their density per plot (10 Sq. ft.)
(Average of four replications per treatment)

Weeds			Treatm	ents	
	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄	T ₅
(A) Dicots:					
Amaranthes viridis	-	•			6.75
Amaranthes spinosus	-	-	-		3.75
Ageratum conyzoides	· -	4.5	13.25	22.75	56.75
Acanthosperum hispidum	-	0.25	0.5	0,25	2.50
Blumea sp.	•	1.0	2.25	5.0	1.25
Borreria stricta	-	-	0.25	7.75	406.25
Cocculus hirsutus	-	0.50	0.50	-	•
Conyza ambigua	-	0.50	-	-	0.75
Eclipta alba	-	81.25	52.0	189.25	495.50
Emilia sonchifolia	=	1.75	0.25	1.25	-
Euphorbia geniculata	-	83.5	210.5	128.00	337.50
Euphorbia hirta	-	45.75	12.25	245.25	196.00
Gelinsoga parviflora	-	-	0.25	-	-
Lagascea mollis	-	1.50	· .	0.75	1.25
Mimosa pudica	-	0.5	-	-	1.00
Oldenlandia umbellata	-	-	÷ .	• '	18.25
Oxalis corniculata	- '	-	-	-	6.25
Passiflora foetida	-	0.25	0.25	_ iD[2.25
Phyllanthus niruri	-	3.5	0.25	0.5	4.50
Rothia trifoliata	-	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, • -	_ * ,,	1.00
Stachytarpita indica	-	1.0	0.25	<u> </u>	1.75
Solanum nigrum	-	-	-		1.00
(B) Monocots:				· :	
Commelina benghalensis	_	•	-	0.50	4.00
Cyperus rotundus	-	_	_	-	2.00
Cynodon dactylon	_		_	_	5.50
Dactyloctenium egyptium	_	-	-	1.25	14.50
Digitaria marginata	-`	<u>.</u>	_	2.75	32.50
Echinochioa sp.	-	-	· •		5.50
Eragrostis cilianensis	-	3.50	0.25	0.50	4.50
Eragrostis sp.	-	-		-	149.00
Panicum sp.	•	<u> </u>	-	0.5	2.50
Setaria pallide-fusca		•	-	4.00

 T_1 - Simazine. T_2 - Basalin. T_3 - Pendimethaline. T_4 - Ronster. T_5 -Control

Table 2

Per cent germination of Eucalyptus seeds and dry weight of weeds per plot

Treatment	Per cent germination	Dicot weeds	Monocot weeds	Dry wt. of weeds	
production of the state of the	of Eucalyptus	weeds	1	(g)	
Simazine	en an en en en en en en	, ; • ·	• 15,13	: -	
Basalin	79.0	299.0	-	195.0	
	40.0	292.25	- 1	192.0	
Ronster	58.0	611.0	14.75	655.0	
Control	80.0	1595.25	215.0	1410.0	

Table 3

Effect of weedicides on the density growth of weeds and seedlings

Treatment	Weed density/ plot	Eucalyptus seedling density/	Height of Euc. plants (cm)
	рюс	sq. ft.	(Citi)
Simazine	ş, 	_	-
Basalin	299.0	152.00	23,43
Pendimethaline	292.50	37.25	13.20
Ronster	825.75	75.75	10.12
Control	1810-25	148.12	10.52
CD at 5%	195.83	50.20	1,13
1%	274.56	70.38	1,59

growth in other treatments was significantly reduce. Reduction in growth vigour was maximum in control probably due to high concentration of weeds and consecompetition for nutrients water. Ronster chemical adversely affected the growth of seedlings.

Dry weight: Maximum dry weight of weeds (1410 g) in control is indicative of luxurient growth of weeds at the cost of seedling growth. Dry weight of weeds in Ronster treatment was also higher (655 g) but it was almost half that of control indicating reduced concentration of weeds. The value for dry weight in Basalin and pendimethaline treated plots was negligible due to low density and poor growth of weeds.

Comparative analysis qualitative and quantitative characters clearly indicate that Basalin (Profluralin) is a promising weedicide effective control of in Eucalyptus nursery. This chemical was selective against most the weeds and was least harmful to the young Eucalyptus seedlings. Pendimethaline (Stemp 30 EC) though effective against had adverse effects on germination and growth of Eucalyptus seedlings. Ronster (Oxadiazon) was effective against weeds and Simazine proved to be lethal for both weeds and Eucalyptus seedlings. hence it is not desirable to use these latter chemicals for Eucaluptus nursaries.

Summary

Weeds adversely affect the germination and growth of seedlings in the Eucalyptus

hybrid nursery beds. Manual weeding which is generally followed is time consuming, difficult and less effective. In order to overcome this problem a study was undertaken for effective control of weeds in Eucalyptus hybrid nursery by means of pre-emergence weedicides viz. Basalin (Profluration), Pendimethaline (Stemp 30 EC), ronster (Oxadiazen) and Simazine. They were applied to nursery beds as pre-emergence spray, at 15, 15, 05 and kg/ha respectively. Basalin was most effective in controlling both dicot and monocot weeds followed by pendimethaline and Ronster. Simazine was lethal to both Eucalyptus and weed seed germination. Seedlings of Eucalyptus in Basalin treated plots were more in number (152/sq ft.), taller (24 cm) and healthier compared to other weedicide treatments. Maximum number of dicot and monocot weeds were found in control plots, consequently seedling growth was very much supperessed. studies indicated that pre-emergence chemical weedicides could be effectively used to control nursery weeds and that Basalin weedicide is more effective in controlling both dicot and monocot weeds and appears to be a suitable chemical weedicide for Eucalyptus hybrid nurseries.

[तंकर] युकेलिप्टस रोपणी में खरपतबार के रासायनिक नियंत्रण का प्रारम्भिक अध्ययन एन० स्वामी राव, वासप्पा व सी० डी० सिंह स्वादांस्त्र

संकर युकेलिप्टस, की रोपिणी की क्यारियों में पौधों के अंकुरण और उसकी वृद्धि पर खरपतवार का बहुत प्रभाव पड़ता है। आमतौर से मजदूर लगा-कर निराई कराई जाती है जो समय खपाऊ, मुश्किल और कम प्रभावकारी रहती है। इस समस्या पर पार पाने के लिए संकर युकेलिप्टस की रोपणी में अंकुरण पूर्व खरपतवार नाशी उदा० वैसेलिन (प्रोफेक्यूरेलिन), पेण्डिमियेलीन [स्टॅप 30 ईसी], रोस्टर (मानसे-

डायजीन), भीर साइमाजीन द्वारा खरपतबार नियम्बन करने का अध्ययन सुरू किया गया । अंक्रूरणपूर्व क्रिडकाव के लिए उन्हें क्वारियों में क्रमश: 1.5, 1.5, 0.5 और 1 किया । श्रीत हैस्टेयर की दर से उपयोग किया नथा । दिवबीयपत्र बीर एकवीय-पत्र दोनों के निवन्त्रया में वैश्वतिन सबसे अधिक प्रमावशाली रही, उसके बाद वेंडिनिवेशिन और रांस्टर रहे। साहमाजीन युकेलिप्टस और सरपतवार बोनों के बीज-अंकुरण के लिए चातक रही। अन्य लरपतवार-नाशी उपचारों के मुकाबले में वैसेलिन उपचारित को भी में यकेसिप्टस के पीधे ग्राधिक संस्था में (152/ वर्गफुट), अविक लम्बे (24 सेमी) और अधिक स्वस्व रहे। द्विववीजपत्र और एकवीत्रपत्र सरपतवारों की अविकतम संस्था नियामक क्षेत्रों में निकली, इसीलिए उसमें वौधे की वृद्धि बहुत दबी हुई पाई गई। इस धध्ययन से पता चनता है कि अंकुरणपूर्व रासायनिक सरपतवारनाशी रोपणी के खरपतवार का नियम्बन करने के लिए प्रभावकारी दग से उपयोग किए जा सकते हैं तथा द्विपत्रीअपत्र भीर एकतीअपत्र दोनों तरह के सरपतवार का नियन्त्रण करने में वैसेलिन करपतवारनाशी संकर युकेनिष्टस रोपनियों के लिए जन्युक्त रासायनिक करपतवारनाकी प्रतीत होती है।

References

3

- Aguir, I.B. and O.A. Gurgel Filhe (1975). Weed control in seed bads of Eucalyptus citriodora. Scientifica 3(1): 139-146.
- Bacon, G.J. (1979). An effective pre-emergence weedicide mix for use in pinus nurseries. South African Forestry Journal, 109: 3-6.
- Biggin, P. (1979). Herbicides for use in forest nurseries. Scottish Forestry, 33(1): 9-14.

- Donald, D.G. M. and J.H. Kitby Smith (1982). Chemical weed control in the production of pines. South African Forestry Journal-125: 14-18.
- Haramaki, C. (1978). Glyphosate for weed control in shade tree nurseries. Proceedings of the Northeastern weed sicence society. 32: 274-281.
- Magnani, G. (1976). Chemical weed control in popular, eucalypt and conifer nurseries. Notiziario Sulle Malattie delle plante. 94-95: 225-230.
- McCavish, W. J. and P. Biggin (1977).

 Weed Control in nursery seedbeds with pre-emergent and post-emergent herbicides. Research Information Note, Forestry Commission, UK 26: 2.
- Weatherspoon, D. M. and P.E. Woolen (1981). Glyphosate tank mixtures in nurseries and christmas trees. In Proceedings 34th Annual Meeting Southern Weed Sciences Society. 130-137.