2009]

# NECTAR RESOURCE USE BY BUTTERFLIES IN A DRY DECIDUOUS FOREST IN EASTERN GHATS, INDIA

T. SELVARATHINAM, P. BALASUBRAMANIAN AND G. KUMARAVELU\*

Salim Ali Centre for Ornithology and Natural History, Coimbatore (Tamil Nadu).

#### Introduction

Insects visit flowers to obtain food, usually in the form of pollen or nectar. The plants obtain the services of pollinators in carrying pollen from one flower to another (Proctor et al., 1996). Butterflies are often considered as opportunistic foragers that visit a wide variety of available flowers. However, their choice of flower is not random and they exhibit distinct flower preference which can differ between species (Jennersten, 1984). The choice of plants as nectar sources by butterflies depends on various factors including innate colour preference, corolla depth, clustering of flowers from which nectar can be extracted (Porter et al., 1992; Corbet, 2000). The floral scent is an important cue signal for butterflies initially to identify and subsequently to recognize and distinguish among rewarding plants. Hence, in order to understand the butterfly-flower interactions, the present study was undertaken.

# **Study Area**

A systematic study was carried out to find out the food resources of butterflies in Sathyamangalam Wildlife Sanctuary (10° 29' 15" to 11° 43' 1" N latitudes and 76° 50' 46" to 77° 27' 22" E longitudes) from April 2007 to July 2008. The erstwhile Sathyamangalam Forest Division has been declared as Wildlife Sanctuary on 3rd November, 2008. The study area has rich flora and wildlife with an elevation of 940 m. The major vegetation type of the study area is tropical dry deciduous forests. The dry deciduous forest is dominated with plants such as Anogeissus latifolia, Terminalia bellirica, Tectona grandis, Gmelina arborea, Acacia leucopholea and scattered bushes of Lantana camara. The streams and rivers that cut across the forests support riverine vegetation.

# Methods

To find out the food resources of butterflies, in the blooming season, 27 plant species were observed for 648 hrs at the rate of 24 hours per species to record the flower visiting insect species, their foraging behaviour, length of the visits and number of flowers visited in unit time. The observations were carried out between 0700 and 1800 hrs. Butterfly species were collected and identified by using The Book of Indian Butterflies (Kehimkar, 2008), Some South IndianButterflies (Gunathilagaraj et al., 1998) and Common Butterflies of India (Gay et al., 1992).

<sup>\*</sup>Former Additional PCCF (Research & Working Plan), Tamil Nadu Forest Department, Chennai (T.N.)

Butterfly attracting flowers were grouped into three size classes, namely small (< 1 cm), medium (1-3 cm) and large (> 3 cm) and four colour categories namely, red, white, green and yellow. Other food resources of butterflies were also recorded while walking through the forest patches.

#### Results

Butterfly diversity: A total of 86 species of butterflies belonging to five families were recorded (Appendix1). One species belonging to Hesperiidae could not be identified. The family Nymphalidae dominated with 35 species followed by Pieridae and Lycaenidae (14 each) and Papilionidae and Hesperiidae (11 each) respectively. Five endemic species namely, Common Silver Line (Spindasis vulcanus), Common Banded Peacock (Papilio buddha), Crimson Rose (Pachliopta Blue Mormon hector). (Papilio polymnestor) and Southern Birdwing (Troides minos) were also recorded.

Nectar resources: Out of the 86 butterfly species recorded, only 49 species used nectar and other species obtained their food from non-floral resources such as mud, wet soils and cow dung. Floral nectar of 21 plant species formed food. Six plant species namely Anogeissus latifolia, Syzygium cumini, Terminalia arjuna, Phyllanthus emblica Feronia elephantum and Chionanthus paniculatus were not visited by butterflies (Table 1). The food plants comprised 18 tree species, 2 shrubs and one herb. Highest number of butterfly species was recorded in Lantana camara (27), followed by Canthium dicoccum (13), and Ligustrum perrotettii (11) respectively.

Majority of the butterflies visited flowers in the study area that had white

flowers (57.14%). This was followed by red (19.04%), green (14.28%) and yellow (9.52%) respectively. However, maximum number butterfly species was attracted to red flowers (n=36). With regard to the size of butterfly visited flowers, the small sized (< 1cm) flowers attracted maximum species (n=48) followed by medium sized (n=18), and large sized flowers (n=5). Foraging timings and behaviour varied among different species. Most of the species are generally active in the forenoon. The Common Banded Awl (Hasora chromus) forages throughout the day. The Lemon Pansy spent long durations for gathering nectar and visited many flowers. The Danaid Eggfly (Hypolimnas misippus), Chocolate Pansy (Precis iphita) and Plain Tiger (Danaus chrysippus) also spent longer duration to siphon the nectar in a single tree (Zizyphus rugosa), but covered only a few flowers. The Tailed Jay (Graphium agamemnon), Common Blue Bottle (Graphium sarpedon) and other swallow tail butterflies occasionally visited flowers and spent only 1-2 sec in a single flower and flew away.

Non-floral food resources: A total of 14 species probed the mud, wet soils near the stream bank and cow dung to obtain the minerals. Species such as, The Pioneer (Anaphaeis aurota), Three Spot Grass Yellow (Eurema blanda) and Chocolate Pansy (Precis iphita) were inclined to feed on both wet soil and cow dung.

### **Discussion and Conclusion**

Most butterflies have specific habitat and food requirements. Although adult butterflies are sensitive to their choice of flowers for feeding, most species never visit some flowers (Feltwell, 1986). All the butterflies are not flower visitors; only the

Table 1

Butterfly attracting plant species (flowers) in the dry deciduous forest in Sathyamangalam Wildlife Sanctuary, Tamil Nadu, India

Sl.	Plant species	Family	Flower		# of
No.			Size	Colour	species attracted
1	Lantana camara (Shrub)	Verbenaceae	Small	Purple	27
2	Canthium dicoccum	Rubiaceae	Small	White	13
3	Ligustrum perrottetii	Oleaceae	Small	White	11
4	Leucas aspera (Herb)	Lamiaceae	Medium	white	11
5	Vitex altissima	Verbenaceae	Small	Red	9
6	Tarenna asiatica (Shrub)	Rubiaceae	Small	White	8
7	Premna tomentosa	Verbenaceae	Small	White	7
8	Melia dubia	Meliaceae	Small	White	6
9	Santalum album	Santalaceae	Small	Red	6
10	Capparis grandis	Capparidaceae	Medium	White	6
11	Zizyphus rugosa	Rhamnaceae	Small	Green	5
12	$Tamarindus\ indicus$	Caesalpiniaceae	Small	Yellow	5
13	$Diospyros\ montana$	Ebenaceae	Medium	Green	5
14	Ixora arborea	Rubiaceae	Medium	White	5
15	Zizyphus mauritiana	Rhamnaceae	Small	Green	4
16	Terminalia bellirica	Combretaceae	Small	White	3
17	Gmelina arborea	Verbenaceae	Large	Yellow	2
18	$Butea\ monosperma$	Fabaceae	Large	Red	2
19	Pongamia pinnata	Fabaceae	Small	White	2
20	$Buchanania\ lanzan$	Anacardiaceae	Small	White	2
21	$Dolich and ron\ at rovirens$	Bignoniaceae	Large	White	1
22	$Chion anthus\ paniculatus$	Oleaceae	Small	White	0
23	Feronia elephantum	Rutaceae	Small	Red	0
24	$Phyllanthus\ emblica$	Euphorbiaceae	Small	White	0
25	Terminalia arjuna	Combretaceae	Small	White	0
26	Syzygium cumini	Myrtaceae	Small	White	0
27	Anogeissus latifolia	Combretaceae	Small	Yellow	0

highly evolved species, whose mouth-parts are represented by a long, thin proboscis, is adapted for feeding on liquid diet such as nectar (Wynter-Blyth, 1957). It is to be

noted here that six plant species were not visited by butterflies in the study area.

Cruden (1976) related the length of

foraging visits to the amount of accumulated nectar. When little nectar is available, the visits are short and many flowers are visited by the butterfly. When relatively large amounts of nectar accumulate, the butterflies need to spend more time to extract the nectar and hence fewer flowers are visited. In the present study, few species of butterflies spent less time on certain plant species and longer duration on other flower species which could be due to the varying quantity of nectar.

Although butterflies have been regarded as opportunistic nectar feeders, some species e.g., Maniola jurtina (Shreeve, 1992), show preferences to flowers with particular concentrations (Watt et al., 1974; Pivnick and McNeil, 1985), colour and pattern (Faegri and van der Pijl, 1979; Watt et al., 1974; Jennersten, 1984) and flower structure e.g., corolla length (Kingsolver and Daniel, 1979; May, 1985). Butterfly morphology (e.g., proboscis length, wing loading) also forms an important feature of flower choice (Porter et al 1992; Corbet, 2000). According to Faegri and Vander Pijl (1979) colour and form of a plant play an important role in butterfly foraging. Jennersten (1984) studied the flower visitation and pollination efficiency of some North European butterflies in Sweden and reported butterflies being attracted to blue and red colours. Most butterfly species have innate colour preference and show high fidelity to colour variations; in some cases these may be modified by experience or training (Reddi and Bai, 1984).

Shahabuddin (1997) recorded butterfly visitors to 20 plant species in Palni hills, Western Ghats. A comparison of flower visitors to 5 plant species that are common to both locations shows lot of differences in terms of number of species visited, which could be related to the local environmental conditions.

The nature of vegetation is the important factor that determines the survival of herbivores in a particular habitat. Being highly sensitive to changes in environment, butterflies are easily affected by even relatively minor perturbations in the habitat. Maintaining a healthy habitat is very important to sustain these herbivores. Hence, to attract butterflies, butterfly attracting plant species listed here may be included in the afforestation programs.

Appendix 1

Butterfly species recorded in Sathyamangalam Wildlife Sanctuary, Tamil Nadu, India
(From April 2007 to July 2008)

Sl. No.	Family/ Common Name	Zoological Name	Legal status		
1	2	3	4		
I. Nymphalidae					
1	Tawny Coster	Acraea violae			
2	Dark Blue Tiger	Tirumala septentrionis			

Contd...

1	2	3	4
3	Plain Tiger	Danaus chrysippus	
4	Common Crow*, **, ***	$Euploea\ core$	Sch- IV
5	Glassy Blue Tiger	Parantica aglea	
6	Striped Tiger	Danaus genutia	
7	Common Fourring	Ypthima huebneri	
8	Nilgiri Fourring	Ypthima chenui	
9	Rustic **	Cupha erymanthis	
10	Chocolate Pansy*, **	Precis iphita	
11	Danaid Eggfly***	Hypolimnas misippus	Sch- I
12	Lemon Pansy**	Junonia lemonias	
13	Common Sailer	Neptis hylas	
14	Common Leopard*,**	$Phalanta\ phalantha$	
15	Blue Pansy	Junonia orithiya	
16	Yellow Pansy	Junonia hierta	
17	Common Lascar	Pantoporia hordonia	
18	Common Castor	Ariadne merione	
19	Angled Castor	Ariadne ariadne	
20	Common Evening Brown	Melanites leda	
21	Common Nawab	Polyura athamas	
22	Common Tree brown	Lethe rohria	
23	Red-Disc Bush brown	Mycalesis oculus	
24	Nigger	Orsotrioena medus	
25	Common Fourring	Ypthima huebneri	
26	Common Sergeant	Athyma perius	
27	Common Baron***	$Euthalia\ aconthea$	Sch-II
28	Joker	Byblia ilithyia	
29	Grey Pansy	$Junonia\ atlites$	
30	Peacock Pansy	$Junonia\ almana$	
31	Great Eggfly	$Hypolimnas\ bolina$	
32	Common Bushbrown	Mycalesis perseus	
33	Common Five-ring	$Ypthima\ baldus$	
34	White Four-ring**	Ypthima ceylonica	
35	Club Beak*	Libythea myrrha	
II.	Lycaenidae		
36	Transparent 6-Lineblue	$Nacaduba\ kurava$	
37	Lime Blue	$Chilades\ lajus$	

39 (40 1) 41 (42 1) 42 43 1) 44 1) 45 1)	Pea Blue Common Cerulean** Banded Blue Pierrot*,** Common Silverline Slate Flash Indian Red Flash Plum Judy	Lampides boeticus Jamides celeno Discolampa ethion Spindasis vulcanus Rapala manea Rapala iarbus Abisara echerius	
40 1 41 0 42 8 43 1 44 1 45 1	Banded Blue Pierrot*,** Common Silverline Slate Flash Indian Red Flash Plum Judy	Discolampa ethion Spindasis vulcanus Rapala manea Rapala iarbus	
41 (42 8) 43 11 44 11 45 11	Common Silverline Slate Flash Indian Red Flash Plum Judy	Spindasis vulcanus Rapala manea Rapala iarbus	
42 S 43 D 44 D 45 D	Slate Flash Indian Red Flash Plum Judy	Rapala manea Rapala iarbus	
43 1 44 1 45 1	Indian Red Flash Plum Judy	Rapala iarbus	
44 I 45 I	Plum Judy	•	
45 I	•	Abicara acharine	
	Monkov Dugglo	Ausura etnerius	
46	Monkey Puzzle	$Rathinda\ amor$	
	Yam Fly	Loxura atymnus	
47 (	Common Pierrot***	$Castalius\ rosimon$	Sch-I
48 ]	Red Pierrot	Talicada nyseus	
49	Silverstreak Blue	Iraota timoleon	
III. P	apilionidae		
50 (	Common Blue Bottle*	$Graphium\ sarpedon$	
51 (	Common Banded Peacock	Papilio buddha	
52 (	Common rose	Pachliopta hector	
53	Tailed Jay	Graphium agamemnon	
54 (	Crimson Rose***	Pachliopta hector	Sch- I
55 (	Common Mormon*	Papilio polytes	
56	Red Helen*	Papilio helenus	
57	Blue Mormon*	Papilio polymnestor	
58 ]	Lime Butterfly*	Papilio demoleus	
59	Southern Birdwing	Troides minos	
IV. Pi	ieridae		
60 8	Small Orange Tip	Colotis etrida	
	Common Gull	Cepora nerissa	
62	Large Salmon Arab***	Colotis fausta	Sch-II
63	Small Salmon Arab	Colotis amata	
64 (	Common Wanderer	Peroronia valeria	
65	White Orange Tip	Ixias marianne	
66 (	Common Jezebel	Delias eucharis	
67 (	Common Grass Yellow	Eurema hecabe	
68	Three Spot Grass Yellow*, **	$Eurema\ blanda$	
69 (	Crimson Tip	Colotis danae	
70 ]	Pioneer*, **	Anaphaeis aurota	

1	2	3	4
71	Yellow Orange Tip	Ixias pyrene	
72	Lemon Emigrant	Catopsilia crocale	
73	Great Orange Tip*	Hebomia glaucippe	
74	Common Emigrant*, **	Catopsilia pomona	
v. I	Hesperiidae		
75	Golden Angle	Caprona ransonnetti	
76	Chestnut Bob	Iambrix salsala	
77	Paintbrush Swift	Baoris farri	
78	Brown Awl	Badamia exclamationis	
79	Malabar Spotted Flat	Calaenorrhinus ambareesa	
80	Common Banded Awl**	Hasora chromus	
81	Indian Skipper	Spialia galba	
82	Common Dartlet	Oriens goloides	
83	Tamil Grass Dart***	Taractrocera ceramas Sch-II	
84	Small Banded Swift	Pelopidas mathias	
85	Rice Swift	Borbo cinnara	
86	Unidentified	<u>-</u>	

<sup>\*</sup>Mud-puddling species, \*\*Major flower visiting species, \*\*\* WPA, 1972

## Acknowledgements

This paper forms an offshoot of a research project sanctioned by the State Forestry Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Forest Department to the Salim Ali Centre for Ornithology and Natural History (SACON). One of the authors (PB) thanks the Tamil Nadu Forest Department for awarding the project and for providing field work permit. Grateful thanks are due to Dr. Baruah, IFS, Addl. PCCF (Research and Working plan), Mr. R.K Ojha, IFS, CCF, Mr. Ananda Naik, IFS, CF, Mr. H. Malleshappa, IFS, CF and Mr. S. Ramasubramanian, IFS, DFO, Sathyamangalam for encouragement and useful suggestions. Thanks are also due to Dr. M. Murugesan, Research Fellow, Salim Ali Centre for Ornithology for identifying plant species and to the Director, SACON for his encouragement.

### **SUMMARY**

This paper discusses the butterfly-flower interrelationships in a dry deciduous forest in Sathyamangalam Wildlife Sanctuary, Tamil Nadu, Eastern Ghats. A total of 86 species of butterflies belonging to five families were recorded in the study area. Butterfly foraging observations were recorded on 27 plant species belonging to 17 families. A total of 49 species of butterflies foraged on floral nectar of 21 plant species. Maximum number of flower-visiting butterfly species (n=17) belonged to Nymphalidae. Small-sized flowers (<1cm) attracted

maximum number of butterfly species (n=48). Among the various flower colours, red were visited by maximum number of species (n=36). Mud-puddling behaviour of butterflies is also discussed.

Key words: Butterflies, Nectar feeding, Mud-puddling, Sathyamangalam Wildlife Sanctuary, Tamil Nadu, Eastern Ghats.

पूर्वी घाट प्रदेश, भारत के शुष्क पर्णपाती वन में तितलियों द्वारा मकरन्द संसाधन का उपयोग टी० सेल्वरतिनम, पी० बाल सुब्रामनियन व जी० कुमारवलु

#### सारांश

इस अभिपत्र में सत्यमगलम वन्य प्राणि अभयारण्य, तिमलनाडु, पूर्वी घाट प्रदेश के शुष्क पर्णपाती वन में तितिलयों पुष्पों के पारचारिक संबंधों का विवेचन किया गया है। अधीत क्षेत्र में कुल मिलाकर पांच वंशों की तितिलयों की 86 जातियां पाई जाती है। तितिलयों द्वारा चारा ग्रहण करने के पर्यवेक्षण 17 कुलो की 27 पादप जातियों पर से आलेखित किए गए। कुल मिलाकर तितिलयों की 49 जातियों ने 21 पादप जातियों से अपना पुष्प मकरन्द चारा प्राप्त किया। पुष्पों पर आने वाली तितिलयों की अधिकतम संख्या (n=17) अप्सरातितील (निम्फैलिडी) वंश की थी। छोटे आकार वाले पुष्पों (< 1 सेमी) ने तितिलयों की अधिकतम संख्या (n=48) को आकृष्ट किया। पुष्पों के विभिन्न रंगो में से लाल रंग वाले पुष्पो पर अधिकतम तितली जातियां मडंराई। तितिलयों के पंक मज्जन व्यवहार का विवेचन भी किया गया है।

## References

- Corbet, S.A. (2000). Butterfly nectaring flowers: butterfly morphology and flower form. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*, **96**: 289-298.
- Cruden, R.W. (1976). Intraspecific variation in pollen-ovule ratio and nectar secretion- preliminary evidence of ecotype. *Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard.*, **63**: 277-289.
- Faegri, K and L. van der Pijl (1979). The Principles of Pollination Ecology. Pergamon Press, London.
- Feltwell, J. (1986). The Natural History of Butterflies. Croom & Helm Ltd, Beckenham Kent, England.
- Gay, T., I.D. Kehimkar and J.C Punetha (1992). Common Butterflies of India. WWF, Oxford University Press.
- Gunathilagaraj, K., T. N.A. Perumal and M.G. Kumar. (1998). Some South Indian Butterflies, Nilgiri Wildlife and Environment Association, Nilgiris, India.
- Jennersten, O. (1984). Flower visitation and pollination efficiency of some North European butterflies. *Oecologia*, **63**: 80-89.
- Kehimkar, I. (2008). *The Book of Indian Butterflies*. Bombay Natural History Society and Oxford University Press, Mumbai.
- Kingsolver, J.G and T.L. Daniel (1979). On the mechanics and energetic of nectar feeding in butterflies. *J. Theoretical Biol.*, **76**: 167-179.
- May, P.G. (1985). Nectar uptake rates and optimal nectar concentrations of two butterfly species. *Oecologia*, **66**: 381-386.
- Pivnick, K.A and J.N. McNeil (1985). Effects of nectar concentration on butterfly feeding: measured feeding rates for *Thymelicus lineola* (Lepidoptera: Hesperiidae) and a general feeding model for adult Lepidoptera. *Oecologia*, **66**: 226-237.
- Porter, K., C.A. Steel and J.A. Thomas (1992). Butterflies and communities. *The Ecology of Butterflies in Britain* (Dennis, R.L.H., ed.). Oxford University Press, Oxford. pp. 139-177.

- Proctor, M., P. Yeo and A. Lack (1996). *The Natural History of Pollination*. Harper Collins Publishers.
- Reddi, C.S and G.M. Bai (1984). Butterflies and pollination biology,  $Proc.\ Indian\ Acad.\ Sci.\ (Anim.\ Sci.,),\ {\bf 93} (4):391-396.$
- Shahabuddin, G. (1997). Habitat and nectar resource utilization by butterflies found in Siruvattukadu kombei, Palani Hills, Western Ghats. J. Bombay Nat. Hist. Soc, 94:423-428.
- Shreeve, T.G. (1992). Adult behaviour, *The Ecology of Butterflies in Britain* (Dennis, R.L.H., ed.). Oxford University Press., Oxford.
- Watt, W.B., P.C Hoch and S.G. Mills (1974). Nectar resource use by *Colias* butterflies, Chemical and visual aspects. *Oecologia*, 14: 353-374.
- Wynter-Blyth, M.A. (1957). Butterflies of the Indian region. Bombay Natural History Society, Bombay.